

|   |                                    |                         |
|---|------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b>             | <b>Applicant(s)</b>     |
|   | 09/508,874                         | MAITLAND ET AL.         |
|   | <b>Examiner</b><br>Philip C Tucker | <b>Art Unit</b><br>1712 |

**All Participants:**

(1) Philip C Tucker.

**Status of Application:** amended

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Carter White.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 23 April 2004

**Time:** 10:45 AM EST

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

28, 29, 39 and 45

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Agreed to amend the specification to include a Brief Description of the Drawings. Agreed to correct minor typographical errors in the claims.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)